UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,315	09/28/2005	Aik Seng Yak	NL 030309	6528
24737 7590 09/03/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			BIBBINS, LATANYA	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,315	YAK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LaTanya Bibbins	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pi	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correctio	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I,** claims 1-3 and 28, drawn to a method for determining axial speed of an optical lens of an optical disc drive and a disc drive apparatus designed to perform such method.

**Group II**, claims 4-6, drawn to a method for determining the sensitivity of a focal actuator of an optical disc drive.

**Group III,** claims 7-13. 16-22, and 25-27, drawn to a method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc.

**Group IV,** claims 14, 15, 23, 24, 29, 30 drawn to a method for recognizing type of an optical disc and a disc drive apparatus designed to perform such method.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The method for determining axial speed of an optical lens and the corresponding disc drive apparatus according to Group I (claims 1-3 and 28) lack the technical features corresponding to the method for determining the sensitivity of a focal actuator, the

Art Unit: 2627

method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc, and the method and disc drive apparatus for recognizing type of an optical disc.

The method for determining the sensitivity of a focal actuator of an optical disc drive according to Group II (claims 4-6) lack the technical features corresponding to the method and corresponding disc drive apparatus for determining axial speed of an optical lens, the method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc, and the method and disc drive apparatus for recognizing type of an optical disc.

The method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc drive according to Group III (claims 7-13. 16-22, and 25-27) lack the technical features corresponding to the method and corresponding disc drive apparatus for determining axial speed of an optical lens, the method for determining the sensitivity of a focal actuator, and the method and disc drive apparatus for recognizing type of an optical disc.

The method for recognizing type of an optical disc and the corresponding disc drive apparatus according to Group IV (claims 14, 15, 23, 24, 29, 30) lack the technical features corresponding to the method and corresponding disc drive apparatus for determining axial speed of an optical lens, the method for determining the sensitivity of a focal actuator, and the method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc.

Art Unit: 2627

## Election of Species

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In the event that applicant elects inventions I, II, III, or IV, applicant must also elect a species.

The species are as follows:

- A. Method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc based on the timing result of an S-shaped curve and on the timing result of characteristic events of the S-shaped curves (see Group III above).
- B. Method for determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc based on the timing result of an S-shaped curve and on the timing result of characteristic events of data signal curves (see Group III above).
- C. Method for recognizing the type of an optical disc utilizing the time interval between characteristic events of two S-shaped curves (see Group IV above).
- D. Method for recognizing the type of an optical disc utilizing the time interval between characteristic events of data signal curves (see Group IV above).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2627

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- **4.** The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
  - A. Claims 7-13 and 25-27 (corresponding to Group III, see above)
  - B. Claims 16-22 (corresponding to Group III, see above)
  - C. Claims 14, 15, 29, and 30 (corresponding to Group IV, see above)
  - D. Claims 23 and 24 (corresponding to Group IV, see above)

The following claims are generic: NONE.

- 5. The Species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
  - A. Species A has the special technical feature of determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc based on the timing result of an S-shaped curve and on the timing.
  - B. Species B has the special technical feature of determining the distance between two reflective layers of an optical disc based on the timing result of an S-shaped curve and on the timing result of characteristic events of data signal curves.

Art Unit: 2627

C. Species C has the special technical feature of recognizing the type of an optical disc utilizing the time interval between characteristic events of two S-shaped curves.

D. Species D has the special technical feature of recognizing the type of an optical disc utilizing the time interval between characteristic events of data signal curves.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2627

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaTanya Bibbins whose telephone number is (571)270-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LaTanya Bibbins/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627